## LOS ANGELES CITY CLERK APPLICATION FORM FOR CEQA APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL (LAMC §197.01)

**DO** <u>NOT</u> **USE THIS FORM** to initiate an appeal of a determination made under the <u>Planning and Zoning Code</u> (LAMC Chapter 1) or a determination made by a <u>proprietary department</u> (Airports, Harbor or Water and Power). To initiate an appeal of a determination made under the Planning and Land Use Code or by a proprietary department, please contact the department or individual who made the determination.

**USE THIS FORM** to initiate an appeal to City Council (pursuant to Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) §197.01) of a nonelected decisionmaking body or individual's (1) certification of an environmental impact report; (2) adoption of a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration; or (3) written determination that a project is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

# 1. LOWER NONELECTED DECISIONMAKING BODY/INDIVIDUAL INFORMATION

Lower Nonelected Decisionmaking Body/Individual (check one):

Board of Public Works Doard of Recreation and Parks Commissioners

	Bureau of Er	ngineering		Department of	Transportation
--	--------------	------------	--	---------------	----------------

X Other (print): Bureau of Street Services, Urban Forestry Division

Regarding Case Number: Log Reference 1-2101552181

Project Title: 2669 N. Bronholly Drive

Project Address: 2669 N. Bronholly Drive

Check type of Environmental Determination (only these can be appealed to City Council): Environmental Impact Report Investigative Declaration/Mitigated Negative Declaration Written Determination That Project Is Not Subject To CEQA

Date of approval of Environmental Determination: 03/16/2022

# LOS ANGELES CITY CLERK APPLICATION FORM FOR CEQA APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL (LAMC §197.01)

### 2. APPELLANT INFORMATION

	Appellant's name (print): Bronholly & Carolus Residents Coalition					
	Company:					
	Mailing Address: 16255 Ventura Blvd. Ste. 950					
	City: Encino	State: CA	Zip: <u>91436</u>			
		Email*: <u>kkropp@lunaglushon.com</u> , you agree to accept communications from the City at the				
	• Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party or organization					
	Self Other (print):					
3.	<b>REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFO</b>					
	Representative/Agent name (if applica	epresentative/Agent name (if applicable): Kristina Kropp				
		Kepresentative/Agent name (in applicable). Kristina Kropp				
	Company: Luna & Glushon	Company: Luna & Glushon				
	Mailing Address: 16255 Ventura Blvc	l., Ste. 950				
	City: Encino	State: CA	Zip: <u>91436</u>			
		Email*: <u>kkropp@lunaglushon.com</u>				
	* By submitting this form electronically, you agree to accept communications from the electronic mail address provided.					
4	*					
4.	LEGAL BASIS FOR THE CEQA A Attach a separate sheet providing a bri		basis for the CEQA Appeal.			
5.	APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT					
5.	I certify that the statements contained	in this application are con	mplete and true:			
	Appellant's Signature:	dio	Date: <u>April 28, 2022</u>			
6.	FILING REQUIREMENTS/ADDIT	IONAL INFORMATI	ON			
	• The following documents are requ					
	• Complete appeal application (t					
	• Legal basis for the CEQA App					
	<ul> <li>Copy of the challenged decision negative declaration or mitigat</li> </ul>					
	the project is not subject to CE	-	or written determination that			

# LOS ANGELES CITY CLERK APPLICATION FORM FOR CEQA APPEAL TO CITY COUNCIL (LAMC §197.01)

- All documents comprising this appeal must also be filed concurrently with the nonelected decisionmaking body or individual whose environmental determination is being appealed [LAMC 197.01 D]
- A CEQA Appeal can only be filed if the challenged decision is not otherwise appealable to the City Council [LAMC 197.01 B]
- A CEQA Appeal can only be filed within the earliest of: (i) 10 days following the filing of either a Notice of Exemption or Notice of Determination in compliance with CEQA; or (ii) 180 days following the Environmental Determination if no Notice of Exemption or Notice of Determination is filed [LAMC 197.01 C]
- Within 10 days of filing the CEQA Appeal, Appellant shall submit to the City Clerk all documentary evidence, other supporting material, and argument that Appellant wishes to present to the City Council [LAMC 197.01 E.2]

This Section for City Clerk Staff Use Only				
Reviewed & Accepted by (City Clerk):	Date:			
MN	4/28/22			
□ Internal review completed				
Deemed Complete/Referred for Assignment by (City Clerk):	Date:			

## ATTACHMENT TO APPEAL

# 1. The Categorical Exemption Provides Incorrect and Incomplete Information

The Categorical Exemption provides that one protected Coast Live Oak Tree will be minimally impacted. However, Urban Forestry has admitted that despite the requirements of the City's Protected Tree Ordinance (see LAMC Sec. 46.02, for example), they have not been provided the grading plans and have not assessed the grading impacts of the Project on the Oak Tree. The City has been provided information that the proposed grading as well as the street widening <u>will</u> cause this Oak Tree to die.<sup>1</sup>

The full scope of the inaccuracies and deficiencies of the Project Description will be provided by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy.

# 2. The Categorical Exemption Does Not Qualify for a Class 3 or Class 32 Categorical Exemption, and Exceptions Apply

### a. The Project Does Not Qualify for a Class 3 Exemption

Class 3 Categorical Exemptions ("CE's") are qualified by consideration of where the project is to be located. A project that would ordinarily be insignificant in its impact on the environment may, in a particularly sensitive or hazardous area, be significant. Therefore, a Class 3 CE cannot be applied where the project may impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern that has been designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state, or local agencies. (CEQA Guidelines §15300.2(a)).

This Project is located in and is a part of a single, designated habitat block (block 39L) by the Griffith Park Area Habitat Linkage Planning Map, adopted in December 2017, and the Eastern Santa Monica Mountains Natural Resource Protection Plan adopted in December, 2021 by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy (SMMC). Block 39L is one of 24 SMMC-mapped habitat blocks comprising the greater Griffith Park habitat area and supports the full range of species in the Griffith Park area, including mammals such as bobcat, mule deer, coyote, gray fox and mountain lion, as well as raptors including red-tailed, red-shouldered and Cooper's hawks, Great-Horned and Western Screech owls, and a multitude of other species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proposed site grading would come within two feet of the protected oak tree's trunk – well within the tree protection zone – according to the latest available civil plans. That close margin does not account for slough, remedial grading, or equipment maneuvering, and appears to flout even the developer's arborist recommendations.

The Project will directly interfere with habitat linkage/wildlife travel routes in block 39L. Indeed, the Project will effectively cut off access to a full ten acres of habitat south of the Project site. The potentially severed 10 acres of Statemapped habitat is an environmental resource of critical concern identified by the Conservancy, a State Agency under the Natural Resources Agency. Accordingly, a Class 3 CE to may not be utilized for the Project.

The Mountains Recreation & Conservation Authority<sup>2</sup> has specifically brought to the City's attention, as early as 2018, the fact that the Project location is part of a habitat linkage which provides for wildlife movement between Griffith Park (a Significant Ecological Area (SEA)) and privately held open space to the south, and that it is specifically in a mapped habitat block.

### b. The Project Does Not Qualify for a Class 32 Exemption

In order to qualify for a Class 32 Exemption, the Project must meet five criteria: (a) be consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations; (b) occur within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; (c) have no value, as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species; (d) not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; (e) be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

There is absolutely nothing in the City's record to indicate that this Project meets any of these criteria. There are no findings, at all, included with the Categorical Exemption, as filed with the County of Los Angeles.

What's more, the Project is not consistent with applicable zoning designation and regulations. Indeed, it is inconsistent with LAMC 12.21.C.10 because it does not maintain a 20-foot continuous paved roadway from the driveway apron that provides access to the main resident to the boundary of the Hillside Area. Although the Applicant proposes to widen the roadway, the record is filled with evidence that makes such widening impractical - including its impacts on the above-referenced protected Coast Live Oak Tree.

Furthermore, as will be provided in evidence from the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, this Project site serves as a habitat for mountains lions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority (MRCA) is a local government public entity established in 1985 pursuant to the Joint Powers Act. The MRCA is a partnership between the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, which is a state agency established by the Legislature, and the Conejo Recreation and Park District and the Rancho Simi Recreation and Park District both of which are local park agencies established by the vote of the people in those communities. The MRCA manages more than 75,000 acres of parkland that it owns or that is owned by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy.

The Santa Monica Mountains' mountain lion population is a State-listed candidate threatened species and currently receives all the protections of a listed threatened species. Therefore, a Class 32 exemption is not appropriate.

#### c. The Unusual Circumstances Exception Applies

CEQA also prohibits use of any CE when "there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances." (CEQA Guidelines § 15300.2(c)). The "unusual circumstances" exception is established without evidence of an environmental effect upon a showing that the project has some feature that distinguishes it from others in the exempt class, such as its size or location. *Berkeley Hillside Preservation v. City of Berkeley* (2015) 60 Cal.4th 1086. In such a case, to render the exception applicable, the party need only show a reasonable possibility of a significant effect due to that unusual circumstance. *Id.* Alternatively, the "unusual circumstances" exception is established with evidence that the project will have a significant environmental effect. *Id.* 

Even if considered to meet the requirements of CEQA Guidelines §15300.2(a) or the Class 32 qualifiers (which it clearly does not), this Project is unique in that it is being proposed within a designated habitat block (block 39L), specifically cited by SMMC as being a "part of the core habitat of Griffith Park," shown on the Griffith Park Area Habitat Linkage Planning Map and the Eastern Santa Monica Mountains Natural Resource Protection Plan. As provided to the City by the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, the subject lot is part of an uncommon north-facing slope habitat within this mapped block that includes several MRCA-owned parcels, including one that is adjacent to the Project site, which would be adversely impacted by the Project.

Due to such unusual circumstances, it is well beyond a reasonable probability that the Project will cause substantial adverse impacts on the environment, particularly habitat linkage/wildlife travel routes in habitat block 39L (see all evidence and comments in the record from the Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority and Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy). Indeed, the Project will effectively cut off access to a full ten acres of habitat south of the Project site. For this reason and others stated above, the Project does not qualify for a Categorical Exemption.



DATE: # OF PAGES: February 2, 2022

#### SENT TO:

COUNCIL DISTRICT:	4
ATTENTION:	Armida Reyes/Rachel Fox
PHONE NUMBER:	213-473-7004
FAX NUMBER:	213-473-2311
EMAIL:	contactCD4@lacity.org / rachel.fox@lacity.org

### SENT FROM: URBAN FORESTRY DIVISION

1

Mail Stop #550 1149 S. Broadway, 4th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90015 PHONE # : (213) 847-3077 FAX: (213) 847-3033

MESSAGE: The Urban Forestry Division received the following permit request to remove trees.



TREE LOCATION: 2669 N Bronholly Dr. Los Angeles, CA, 90068

TREE SPECIES AND QUANTITY: (1) Toyon (Heteromeles arbutifolia)

REASON FOR REQUEST: Within foot print of home and for grading.

CEQA: The tree removals and replacement (1) consist of construction and location of limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures, installation of small new equipment and facilities in small structures; and the conversion of existing small structures from one use to another where only minor modifications are made in the exterior of the structure; (2) the action is exempt under under Article III, Section 1, Class 3, Category 1 (new construction of small structures - single family residence not in conjunction with the building of two or more units) of the City of Los Angeles Environmenal Quality Act Guidelines (2002). It may be possible that the removal of protected trees may be exempt when part of the construction of a single family home.

#### TREE REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENTS:

PLANTING QUANTITY AND SPECIES: (4) Toyon (Heteromeles arbutifolia) 24-inch box size or largest available size DELIVERY QUANTITY AND SPECIES:

	FOR BUREAU OF STREET SERVICES USE ONLY	
X	The above request has been reviewed and approved.	
	The above request is denied.	
APPROVED BY:	But King	
DATE APPROVED:	03-11-2022	